NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY



TRAINING PROGRAMME FOR BANGLADESH JUDICIAL OFFICERS 5th to 10th October, 2018

READING MATERIAL VOLUME: II

Prepared by
Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh & Mr. Prasidh Raj Singh, Faculty, NJA

Objective of the Programme

Training and Capacity Building programmes for judicial officers of Bangladesh, covering all District and below judicial officers, to be organized and conducted under the aegis of the Academy, was formalized during the recent visit of the Hon'ble Prime Minister of Bangladesh to India. MOU for the purpose was entered into between the Academy and the Supreme Court of Bangladesh, for training of about 1500 officers, during 2017 to 2023. During this academic year 4 batches of about 40 officers each would participate in a course of 15 days training programme. The batches would include Junior Division Judges, Magistrates, Subordinate judges, District and Sessions Judges and equivalent rank judicial officers. Identified and designated State Judicial Academies would organize part of the training programme after a stint of training at the Academy, within the framework of a training module and curriculae designed by the Academy. The Programme will include sessions on judicial skills, constitutional, civil, criminal, environmental and human rights laws and correlative jurisprudence. Domain experts and Justices of High Courts and the Supreme Court will be invited as resource persons to guide deliberations.

	Session 8: Evidentiary Presumptions; Onus and Burden of Proof
1	Justice Dharnidhar Jha, Section 106 of the Indian Evidence Act: A Case of Special Burden or Reverse Burden on Accused
2	Allen, Ronald J. and Stein, Alex (2013), Evidence, Probability, and the Burden of Proof, Arizona Law Review, Vol. 55, 2013, pp. 557-602
3	Ingram, J.L. (2009) Criminal Evidence, Burden of Proof , Lexis Nexis, 43-74
Se	ession 9: Electronic Evidence: New Horizons, Collection, Preservation Appreciation
1	Justice Kurian Joseph, Admissibility of Electronic Evidence, (2016) 5 SCC J-1
2	Vaidialingam A. (2015) Authenticating Electronic Evidence : 65B, Indian Evidence Act,1872 NUJS Law Review, 8,43-66
3	Cameron A. and Melanson N. (2010), Obtaining Electronic Evidence from Non –Parties, The Advocates Quarterly, 36, 470-508
1	Law Commission of India (2017) Human DNA Profiling- A draft Bill for the Use and Regulation of DNA-Based Technology. Report No. 271, 4-53
2	Goswami, G.K. (2015). Forensic Law, Annual Survey of Indian law, 51, 597-630
	Session 11: Criminal Justice Administration and Human Rights
1	M. Cherif Bassiouni, Human Rights in the Context of Criminal Justice: Identifying International Procedural Protections and Equivalent

2	United Nations Principles and Guidelines on Access to Legal Aid in Criminal Justice Systems, United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, United Nations, New York, 2013 https://www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison reform/UN_principles_and_guidlines_on_access_to_legal_aid.pdf
3	Fali S. Nariman, Fifty Years of Human Rights Protection in India -The Record of 50 Years of Constitutional Practice, NLSIU Bangalore - National Law School of India Review(NLSIR), 2013
	Session 12: Human Rights : Fair and Impartial Investigation
1	Peter Tillers, The Fabrication of Facts in Investigation and Adjudication , 9 J. Comp. L. 281, 310 (2014)
2	Peter Tillers, Discussion Paper: The Structure and the Logic of Proof in Trials, 10 Law, Prob. & Risk 1, 6 (2011)
3	Tiwana, M. (2005), Human Rights and Policing: Landmark Supreme Court Directives and National Human Rights Commission Guidelines, CHRI, New Delhi, 3-5
	Session 13: ICT and E-Judiciary: Indian Perspective
1	Justice G. C. Bharuka. (2016), Technology and Timely Justice , Common Cause, Vol. XXXV No. 1, 5-12
2	Atul Kaushik, (2016), Bringing the 'E' to Judicial Efficiency: Implementing the e-Courts System in India, State of the Indian Judiciary: A report by DAKSH, Section-1, 25-40
3	Justice R. C. Chavan, (2014), Using Information and Communication Technology in Governance- An Experiment in Maharashtra Judiciary, Cries in Wilderness, 17-27
4	Justice R. C. Chavan, (2014). E-Courts Project: Citizen at the Center of Court Processes , Cries in Wilderness, 28-33
5	

1	Maltz, E. The Nature of Precedent , North Carolina Law Review, 1988, Vol 66, 367-393	
2	Stone, J. (November 1959), The Ratio of the Ratio Decidendi , The Modern Law Review, 22(6), 597-620	
3	Elizabeth Y. McCuskey,(2016), Submerged Precedent, 16 Nev. L.J. 515, 584	
	Session 15 & 16: Landmark Judgments in India [Full Judgments are provided in CD]	
1	Justice K S Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors. (Right to Privacy Judgement) Supreme Court of India Dated – 24th Aug. 2017	
2	Shayara Bano vs. Union of India & others (Triple Talaq Case) Supreme Court of India Dated – 22nd Aug. 2017	
3	Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association vs. Union of India (2016) 5 SCC 1	
4	Shreya Singhal vs. Union of India 2015 Indlaw SC 211	
5	State of Tamil Nadu vs. State of Kerala and another (2014) 12 SCC 696	
6	Manoj Narula vs. Union of India (2014) 9 SCC 1	
7	State of Maharashtra vs. Indian Hotel and Restaurants Association (2013) 8 SCC 519	
8	K. T.Plantation Private Limited vs. State of Karnataka (2011) 9SCC 1	
9	A. K. Behra and another vs. Union of India (2010) 11 SCC 322	
10	Glanrock Estate (Private) Limited vs. State of Tamil Nadu (2010) 10 SCC 96	
11	Union of India vs. R. Gandhi, President, Madras Bar Association (2010) 11 SCC	

12	I.R. Coelho (Dead) By Lrs vs. State of Tamil Nadu AIR2007 SC 861	
13	M. Nagaraj and Others vs. Union of India AIR 2007 SC 71	
14	P.A. Inamdar and Others vs. State of Maharashtra (2005) 6 SCC 537	
15	Moulana Mufti Syed Md. Noorur vs. State of W.B. AIR 1999 Cal. 15	
16	L. Chandra Kumar vs. Union of India AIR 1997 SC 1125	
17	People's Union of Civil Liberties vs. Union of India AIR 1997 SC 568	
18	Ajay Canu vs. Union of India AIR 1988 SC 2027	
19	L N Mishra Institute of Economic Development and Social Change, Patna vs. State of Bihar AIR 1988 SC 1136	
20	Bijoe Emmanuel & Ors vs. State of Kerala AIR 1987 SC 748	
21	Minerva Mills Ltd. vs. Union of India AIR 1980 SC 1789	
22	Maneka Gandhi vs. Union of India AIR 1978 SC 597	
23	Indira Nehru Gandhi vs. Raj Narain AIR 1975 SC 1590	
24	Kesavananda Bharati vs. State of Kerala AIR 1973 SC 1461	
25	Raghubar Dayal Jai Prakash vs. The Union of India AIR 1962 SC 263	
26	Kedar Nath Singh vs. State of Bihar AIR 1962 SC 955	
27	Babulal Parate vs. State of Maharashtra AIR 1961 SC 884	

SC 2015
7 129
,
Petition
No. 76
Vrit
n (Civil)
32 of

Table of Contents